

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

11	GOOD TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION and )	Case No. 5:12-cv-05826-PSG
12	GOOD TECHNOLOGY SOFTWARE, INC., )	<b>ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR</b>
13	v. )	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
14	MOBILEIRON, INC., )	(Re: Docket Nos. 96, 102)
15	Defendant. )	
16	GOOD TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION and )	Case No. 5:12-cv-05287-PSG
17	GOOD TECHNOLOGY SOFTWARE, INC. )	<b>ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR</b>
18	v. )	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
19	AIRWATCH, LLC, )	(Re: Docket No. 103)
20	Defendant. )	

22 Plaintiffs Good Technology Corporation and Good Technology Software, Inc. (“Good”)  
23 filed a motion for summary judgment of indefiniteness as to the terms “identify[ing] required  
24 applications” and “role” in the context of Unites States Patent No. 8,359,016.<sup>1</sup> Defendants  
25 MobileIron, Inc. and AirWatch, LLC similarly filed a motion for summary judgment of  
26

---

28 <sup>1</sup> See Case No. 12-cv-05826: Docket No. 102.

1        indefiniteness as to the terms “untrusted client site”, “the service” and “data tracker” in the context  
2        of Unites States Patent No. 6,151,606.<sup>2</sup> In light of the court’s claim construction order in this  
3        case,<sup>3</sup> both motions for summary judgment are DENIED. As the court indicated in its previous  
4        claim construction order, a full claim construction order will issue at a later date, at which time any  
5        relevant indefiniteness arguments will be addressed.

6  
7        **SO ORDERED.**  
8

9        Dated: December 31, 2014

10          
11        PAUL S. GREWAL  
12        United States Magistrate Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

---

<sup>2</sup> See Case No. 12-cv-05826: Docket No. 96.

<sup>3</sup> See Case No. 12-cv-05826: Docket No. 135.